## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

TENTHAL,	)
Plaintiff,	) Court No. 07 C 7230
-	)
SELMO.	) Judge Joan B. Gottschall
IG. L.L.C. and	) Jauge John D. Gottschan
TWAY	<b>`</b>
Defendants.	) Magistrate Michael T. Mason
NK	)
2800	{
	í
nishee Defendant	í
	SELMO, IG, L.L.C., and IWAY Defendants. NK 2800

## **AFFIDAVIT FOR GARNISHMENT (NON-WAGE)**

## MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL, on oath states:

- 1. Judgment was entered on January 31, 2008 for judgment creditor(s) MATTHEW H. ANSELMO and M&M MARKETING, L.L.C., and against judgment debtor, WELLS FARGO BANK, for \$ 2,329,649.32.
  - \$-0- as been paid on judgment.
  - There is unpaid on the judgment:

\$ 2.329.649.32 principal

\$ \_\_\_\_6.950.68 interests

\$ <u>2.336.600.00</u> **TOTAL** 

- 4 I believe garnishee Wells Fargo Bank is indebted to the judgement debtor, other than wages, or has in his or her possession custody or control property belonging to him or her in which he/she has an interest.
  - 5. I request summons issue directed to garnishee.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

EXECUTED ON: February 22, 2008.

Michael L. Blumenthal, Esq.
Milton M. Blumenthal & Associates
Attorney for Pro Se
77 West Washington Street - Suite 1720
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 372-3566
Attorney I.D. # 6183007

Filed 03/04/2008 Page 2 of 2 V0.7989 P. 4

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS F EASTERN DIVISION

	Mark
MICHAEL L. BLUMENTHAL,	) Court No. 07 C 7230 MICHAEL W. DOBBINS  OLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	Court No. 07 C 7230 MICHAEL W. I.S. DISTRICT COURT
VS.	CLERK, O.
MATTHEW H. ANSELMO,	) Judge Joan B. Gottschall
M & M MARKETING, L.L.C., and KATHRYN HEMENWAY	, ,
and Defendants.	) Magistrate Michael T. Mason
WELLS FARGO BANK	) ragistrate michaet 1. mason
230 W. Monroe Suite 2800	í
Chicago, IL 60606	í)
Garnishee Defendant.	j
INTERROGATO	RIES TO GARNISHEE
<ol> <li>When you were served with sum: control any Property belong to the judgment de</li> </ol>	nons, did you have in your possession, custody or btor or in which he or she had an interest?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Answer: Yel	
ANSWER: Yes or No)	
2. If your answer is yes, describe the	property: #85, 00
	·
3. When you were served with	h summons, were you otherwise indebted to him or
4)4	
ANSWER: (Yes or No)	
(Yes or No)	
4. If your answer is yes, state:	
	1
	•
<b>AF</b>	<u>FIDAVIT</u>
di I la la	
Mary A Mary on oath	states that the answers to the interrogatories are true:
V	
	IAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.
EXECUTED ON: FEB 2.7 2008	
•	2000.